





Blood 142 (2023) 1870–1872

The 65th ASH Annual Meeting Abstracts

POSTER ABSTRACTS

637.MYELODYSPLASTIC SYNDROMES - CLINICAL AND EPIDEMIOLOGICAL

The Significance of Variant Allele Frequency in SF3B1 Mutated Myelodysplastic Neoplasms/Syndromes

Curtis A. Lachowiez, MD¹, Georgios Asimomitis^{2,3}, Elsa Bernard, PhD², Ivory Tang⁴, Maria Creignou, MD⁵, Ulrich Germing⁶, Norbert Gattermann, MD⁷, Luca Malcovati, MD⁸, Mario Cazzola, MD⁹, Eva Hellstrom Lindberg, MD PhD¹⁰, Elli Papaemmanuil¹¹, Sanam Loghavi, MD¹²

¹Knight Cancer Institute, Oregon Health & Science University, Portland, OR

²Computational Oncology Service, Memorial Sloan Kettering Cancer Center, New York, NY

³Biomedical Systems Laboratory, Department of Mechanical Engineering, National Technical University of Athens, Athens, Greece

⁴Memorial Sloan Kettering Cancer Center, New York, NY

⁵Phase 1 Unit, Center for Clinical Cancer Studies, Karolinska University Hospital, Stockholm, Sweden

⁶Department of Hematology, Oncology and Clinical Immunology, Universitatsklinik Dusseldorf, Dusseldorf, Germany

⁷ Klinik für Hämatologie, Onkologie und Klinische Immunologie, Universitätsklinikum Düsseldorf, Düsseldorf, Germany

⁸Department of Molecular Medicine, University of Pavia, Piazzale Golgi 2, Italy

⁹University of Pavia, Pavia, Italy

¹⁰Department of Medicine, Center for Hematology and Regenerative Medicine, Karolinska Institute, Stockholm, Sweden

¹¹ Department of Pediatrics, Memorial Sloan Kettering Cancer Center, New York, NY

¹²Hematopathology, The University of Texas MD Anderson Cancer Center, Houston, TX

Introduction:

Recent iterations of the World Health Organization (WHO) and international consensus classification (ICC) guidelines for myelodysplastic neoplasms (MDS) refined disease defining characteristics through incorporation of morphologic and genetic criteria. Despite these welcome updates, discrepancies between the WHO and ICC remain, particularly with respect to variant allele frequency (VAF) threshold in disease defining mutations such as *SF3B1* and *TP53*. We evaluated patients with *SF3B1*-mutated MDS, a specific molecular class of MDS in both classification schemes , providing additional biologic and survival information to inform both diagnosis and prognosis.

Methods:

We queried the International Working Group for Prognosis in MDS (IWG-PM) cohort of adult (age \geq 18 years) patients (pts) with MDS (N= 3,323; Bernard et al. *NEJM Evidence* 2022) for baseline clinical demographic, cytogenetic and molecular information, and clinical outcomes. *SF3B1* VAF was assessed using targeted next generation sequencing. Demographics were analyzed using descriptive statistics. Between group differences were assessed using the Wilcoxon rank-sum test or Fisher's exact test as appropriate. Time to event endpoints were analyzed using the log-rank method. Multivariate analysis utilized cox proportional hazards regression.

Results:

We identified 447 (14%) pts met WHO 5th criteria for MDS with low blasts and *SF3B1* mutation. Among these, 30 (7%) of pts had a *SF3B1* VAF < 10% (*SF3B1* ^{low}; currently classified as MDS, not otherwise specified by ICC) while 93% (N=417/447) had *SF3B1* VAF of \geq 10% (*SF3B1* ^{high}). Median VAF in pts with *SF3B1* ^{low} vs. *SF3B1* ^{high} was 6% (range: 2.2-9.9%) vs. 37% (range: 10.9-54.1%). Pts with *SF3B1* ^{low} vs. *SF3B1* ^{high} were older (median age 79 vs. 74, p=0.010), and had lower median platelet (185 x10 ⁹/L vs. 264 x10 ⁹/L, p < 0.001) and white blood cell levels (3.94 x10 ⁹/L vs. 5.46 x10 ⁹/L, p < 0.001).

The median number of mutations other than SF3B1 in SF3B1 ^{low} and SF3B1 ^{high} was 2 (range: 0-5 vs. 0-8, p=0.42), and SF3B1 was the sole genetic alteration in 10% vs. 20%, respectively (OR=2.2, p=0.24). Common SF3B1 variants in SF3B1 ^{low} vs. SF3B1 ^{high} included p.K666 (27% vs. 10%, OR: 0.31, p=0.01) and p.K700 (50% vs. 59%, OR: 1.42, p=0.44).

In pts with *SF3B1*^{low}, single-hit mutations in *TP53* (13% vs. 3%, OR: 0.21, p=0.021), *SRSF2* (10% vs. 3%, OR: 0.25, p=0.06), and *RUNX1* (7% vs. 4%, OR: 0.60, p=0.37) were more frequent (Fig. A). Other co-mutations had similar frequencies in *SF3B1*^{low} vs. *SF3B1*^{high} MDS: *TET2* (33% vs. 42%, OR: 1.45, p=0.44), *DNMT3A* (23% vs. 27%, OR 1.22, p=0.83), *ASXL1* (17% vs. 13%, OR:

POSTER ABSTRACTS

0.71, p=0.57). The distribution of SF3B1 VAF, and observed patterns of co-mutations did not differ by sample type (peripheral blood or bone marrow).

More pts with *SF3B1* ^{low} vs. *SF3B1* ^{high} (76% vs. 46%, p: 0.0011) had a subclonal *SF3B1* mutation compared with other cooccurring mutations. In the 213 (48%) pts with subclonal *SF3B1*, the most frequent dominant mutations included *TET2* (35%, N=75), *DNMT3A* (21%, N=45), and *ASXL1* (4%, N=8). Among the top co-mutations in *SF3B1* ^{low} vs. *SF3B1* ^{high}, *SF3B1* ^{low} was more frequently subclonal to *TP53* (50% vs. 0%, p=0.04), *SRSF2* (33% vs. 0%, p=0.2), and *RUNX1* (50% vs. 0%, p=0.1). As anticipated, *SF3B1* ^{high} cases were enriched for very-low/low risk IPSS-M subtypes (78% vs. 43%, OR: 2.9, p=0.011) compared to *SF3B1* ^{low} cases which had an increased frequency of moderate IPSS-M subtypes (30% vs. 14%, OR: 0.39, p=0.03; Fig.B). Median leukemia free survival (LFS; 5 vs. 6 years, p=0.10) was not significantly different between pts with *SF3B1* ^{low} vs. *SF3B1* ^{high} MDS. Median overall survival (OS; 5 vs. 6.2 years, p=0.04) was significantly shorter in pts with *SF3B1* ^{low}, however after adjusting for *SF3B1* VAF, age, and IPSS-M score, only age (HR: 1.05 95% CI: 1.03-1.07, p < 0.0001), and increasing IPSS-M score (HR: 2.60 95% CI: 2.10-3.20, p < 0.0001) significantly associated with OS.

Conclusion:

Patients with MDS with *SF3B1*-mutation VAF < 10% are older and have an increased frequency of other clonally dominant co-mutations in adverse risk genes (i.e., *TP53*, *SRSF2*, *RUNX1*). Despite more adverse disease biology, LFS and OS were similar between pts with *SF3B1*^{low} vs. *SF3B1*^{high} with respect to *SF3B1* VAF after accounting for age and IPSS-M score. Future diagnostic and prognostic schema could account for differences in *SF3B1*^{low} disease biology through use of comprehensive prognostic tools such as the IPSS-M score.

Disclosures Lachowiez: COTA Healthcare: Consultancy; Rigel Pharmaceuticals: Membership on an entity's Board of Directors or advisory committees. **Gattermann:** Takeda: Research Funding; BMS: Honoraria; Novartis: Honoraria. **Papaemmanuil:** TenSixteen Bio: Current equity holder in private company; Isabl Inc.: Current equity holder in private company, Current holder of stock options in a privately-held company, Other: CEO, Patents & Royalties: Whole genome cancer analysis. **Loghavi:** Caris Diagnostics: Consultancy; QualWorld: Consultancy; Daiichi Sankyo: Consultancy; Astellas: Research Funding; Blueprint Medicine: Consultancy; Gerson Lehrman Group: Consultancy; Abbvie: Consultancy; Guidepoint: Consultancy; Recordati/ EUSA Pharma: Consultancy; Amgen: Research Funding; Abbvie: Current equity holder in publicly-traded company.



Figure 1

https://doi.org/10.1182/blood-2023-179899